

2950 Carner Avenue North Charleston, SC

**Grades** 6-12 High School **Enrollment** 514 Students

PrincipalAnderson W. Townsend843-745-7102SuperintendentDr. Nancy J. McGinley843-937-6319Board ChairMrs. Ruth Jordan843-345-4529

# 2010 REPORT CARD

#### RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YFAR ABSOLUTE RATING GROWTH RATING 2010 Good Good 2009 Excellent Excellent Excellent 2008 Excellent 2007 Average N/A

N/A

### **DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS**

N/A

2006

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*							
Excellent	Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk						
0	4	13	5	13			

<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/24/2011.

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students									
	Our		Schools with its Like Ours						
Percent	2008	2009	2010	2008	2009	2010			
Passed 2 subtests (%)	82.0%	81.3%	81.6%	65.8%	56.9%	61.7%			
Passed 1 subtest (%)	14.8%	14.1%	15.8%	16.8%	21.1%	19.3%			
Passed no subtests (%)	3.3%	4.7%	2.6%	17.9%	24.1%	21.3%			

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2010		
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	91.5%	83.3%

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate								
	Our High School High Schools with Students Like Out							
	2009*	2010	2009*	2010				
Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort	53	57	124	146				
Number of Graduates in Cohort	47	52	81	95				
Rate	88.7%	91.2%	59.6%	65.6%				

<sup>\*</sup>Used to calculate current AYP.

End of Course Tests									
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*							
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	65.8%	58.5%							
English 1	78.0%	50.8%							
Physical Science	63.5%	34.3%							
US History and the Constitution	43.5%	24.8%							
All Tests	63.9%	41.2%							

<sup>\*</sup> High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

# School Profile

	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n=514)				
Retention rate	3.5%	Down from 4.0%	5.3%	3.7%
Attendance rate	96.2%	No Change	94.1%	95.4%
Eligible for gifted and talented	7.7%	Up from 4.4%	3.9%	12.4%
With disabilities other than speech	4.2%	Down from 4.5%	13.8%	12.8%
Older than usual for grade	3.7%	Down from 4.0%	14.6%	9.1%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	5.1%	Down from 5.2%	2.2%	1.1%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	8.5%	Down from 11.2%	8.1%	13.1%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	25.2%	50.4%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	29.4%	Up from 29.2%	26.2%	30.4%
Annual dropout rate	0.8%	Down from 1.2%	2.5%	3.1%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	1.6%	Up from 0.0%	3.8%	2.2%
Enrollment in career/technology courses	186	Up from 171	277	424
Students participating in work-based experiences	2.1%	Down from 18.8%	8.0%	11.7%
Career/technology students attaining technical skills	80.2%	Up from 80.1%	74.2%	78.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	97.1%	98.5%
Teachers (n=44)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	47.7%	Up from 40.9%	55.7%	60.4%
Continuing contract teachers	47.7%	Down from 54.5%	60.4%	76.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	30.3%	Up from 23.5%	16.6%	6.5%
Teachers returning from previous year	77.4%	Up from 76.4%	78.0%	86.8%
Teacher attendance rate	98.2%	Up from 96.7%	96.2%	95.8%
Average teacher salary*	\$41,972	Down 0.9%	\$44,706	\$47,390
Professional development days/teacher	15.2 days	Down from 16.0 days	10.6 days	10.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	9.0	Up from 8.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.0 to 1	Up from 16.7 to 1	22.5 to 1	25.8 to 1
Prime instructional time	94.1%	Up from 92.2%	89.1%	90.1%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$9,662	Up 5.5%	\$9,819	\$7,974
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	56.1%	Down from 57.3%	52.7%	55.4%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	59.0%	Down from 61.4%	59.5%	60.4%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Excellent
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 99.9%	97.4%	96.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Good	Good
Modern language program assessment	Good	Up from Below Average	Average	Average
Classical language program assessment	N/A	N/A	N/A	Average

<sup>\*</sup> Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

# Performance By Student Groups

		sage Rate by ng 2010	End of Course Tests Passage Rate		On-time	Graduation Rate, 2010			
	n	%	t	%	n	%	Met AYP Objective		
All Students	47	91.5%	302	63.9%	57	91.2%	Yes		
Gender									
Male	29	96.6%	144	70.1%	35	88.6%	N/A		
Female	18	83.3%	158	58.2%	22	95.5%	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
African American	40	92.5%	267	62.5%	48	93.8%	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	28	71.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disability Status									
Disabled	N/A	N/A	22	54.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	20	65.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	41	95.1%	249	65.5%	48	93.8%	N/A		

NOTE: n=number of students on which percentage is calculated; t=number of tests taken.

## Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

The Military Magnet Academy is a caring school where all cadets are challenged to learn every day. The Military Magnet Academy prepares students to become competent, disciplined, and responsible citizens by providing the necessary learning environment. The Military Magnet Academy is committed to student academics, extracurricular activities, and parent and community involvement. The academic program develops the "whole person" by providing a foundation for success in today's world of high technology.

At the Military Magnet Academy, a schoolwide remediation program is implemented to target all students. Students with the highest needs also receive computer-assisted tutoring using Voyager, Academy of Reading/Math, Study Island, and APEX software. An afterschool homework center and tutorial program are made available to all cadets for two hours after school on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday, for four hours on Saturday mornings, and for one hour before school daily.

A Saturday tutorial program developed for all cadets and parents enhances parental involvement. A PASS night for parents is offered once quarterly to share PASS study tips and test-taking techniques. All cadets are required to participate in the twenty-five book campaign and Independent Reading programs. Awards are provided to students who received points for completing reading assignments. Teachers differentiate instruction and use cooperative learning with heterogeneous grouping in the classrooms to develop teamwork and enhance student achievement. The military tactical officers conduct instructional training to reinforce academic and basic military skills taught in the classrooms. Quarterly benchmark tests are given to assess student progress.

An attempt to improve the delivery of academic instruction is accomplished by providing teachers with training on coherent curriculum, cooperative learning, curriculum alignment, and standards implementation. Quality initiatives for teacher training are supported as teachers are encouraged to attend workshops and conferences. MMA is accredited by the Southern Association of College and Schools.

Anderson W. Townsend, Principal James McClain, School Improvement Chairperson

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	52	43	46
Percent satisfied with learning environment	86.5%	90.7%	90.9%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	92.3%	88.4%	87.0%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	46.2%	83.7%	75.6%

Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

# No Child Left Behind

#### School Adequate Yearly Progress YES

This school met 13 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

# School Improvement Status

**CA-DELAY** 

Schoo	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality Data		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.8%	1.9%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	8.6%	5.6%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.5%	0.0%	No

IMETITATION TO THE	/ (O/ (DE								00/2	.,	01010
HSAP Performance E	By Grou	р									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Langua	ige Arts	- State	Perfor	mance	Object	ive = 7	1.3% (F	Proficie	nt or Ac	lvance	d)
All Students	76	100	9.3	50.7	28	12	53.3	69.8	65.9	Yes	Yes
Male	32	100	6.3	53.1	28.1	12.5	53.1	67.3	60.8	N/A	N/A
Female	44	100	11.6	48.8	27.9	11.6	53.5	72.3	71	N/A	N/A
White	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	91.6	77.5	I/S	I/S
African American	69	100	8.8	51.5	27.9	11.8	51.5	48.4	49.7	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	87.2	80.2	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	62.6	56.8	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	65.9	I/S	I/S
Disabled	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	28.3	21.3	I/S	I/S
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	54.7	47.3	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals	64	100	10.9	50	26.6	12.5	53.1	50.2	51.5	Yes	Yes
Mathemat	ics - Sta	ate Perf	formand	ce Obje	ctive =	70.0%	(Profic	ient or	Advanc	ed)	
All Students	76	100	12	56	22.7	9.3	50.7	64.2	62.3	Yes	Yes
Male	32	100	6.3	50	37.5	6.3	68.8	66.5	61.7	N/A	N/A
Female	44	100	16.3	60.5	11.6	11.6	37.2	62	63	N/A	N/A
White	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	86.8	75	I/S	I/S
African American	69	100	13.2	55.9	20.6	10.3	50	41.8	44	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	87.2	85.5	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	58.9	56.7	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	62.5	I/S	I/S
Disabled	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	25.7	22.1	I/S	I/S
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	59.3	52.6	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals	64	100	14.1	54.7	21.9	9.4	46.9	43.1	48.1	Yes	Yes
Ph	ysical S	cience	(End-	of-Cour	se Tes	t perfor	mance	by Gro	up)		
All Students	76	100.0	63.2	23.7	6.6	6.6	13.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	32	100.0	65.6	15.6	12.5	6.3	18.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	44	100.0	61.4	29.5	2.3	6.8	9.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	69	100.0	62.3	23.2	7.2	7.2	14.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

7

N/A

5

I/S

I/S

I/S

100.0

I/S

I/S

I/S

62.5

I/S

I/S

I/S

21.9

I/S

I/S

I/S

7.8

I/S

I/S

I/S

7.8

Disabled

Migrant

Limited English Proficient

Subsidized meals

I/S

I/S

I/S

15.6

N/A

<sup>\*</sup> Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data										
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*
English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 71.3% (Proficient or Advanced)										
All Students	2009 2010	64 76	100 100	4.7 9.3	45.3 50.7	40.6 28	9.4 12	68.8 53.3	64.1 69.8	61.8 65.9
Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 70.0% (Proficient or Advanced)										

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 70.0% (Proficient or Advanced)										
All Students	2009	64	100	18.8	42.2	26.6	12.5	53.1	62.9	62.7
	2010	76	100	12	56	22.7	9.3	50.7	64.2	62.3

<sup>\*</sup> Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.